### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL030429WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/IB2004/050500	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 April 2004 (23.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 April 2003 (25.04.2003) ]	
International Patent Classification (IP 7 H04L 29/08	C) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRO	DNICS N.V.		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. Π	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)		
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			Authorized officer Idhir Britel		
			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60		
Form I	PCT/IB/373 (Tanuary 2004)				

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 6 AUG 2004 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 25.04.2003 PCT/IB2004/050500 23.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L29/08 Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. Ⅰ Basis of the oplnion Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Paven, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4289



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050500

_	Box			
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		lang (un	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With	re( essa	pard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and array to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. ty	pe ·	of material:	
		3	a sequence listing	
	[	]	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	orma	at of material:	
	[	_	in written format	
	1		in computer readable form	
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:	
	1		contained in the international application as filed.	
	I		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	1		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3	. <b>□</b>	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.	

4. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050500

	Box	No. II	Priority			
1.	.   The following document has not been furnished:					
	$\boxtimes$ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).					rity has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
						e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
					a ta aanaid	er the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has n that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Ade	ditional	observations, if necessa	ary:		
_	Bo	x No. V	Reasoned stateme	nt unde	er Rule 43 <i>t</i>	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement
1.		atement				
	No	velty (N	· ·	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-3,5-8,10-16
	Inv	entive s	step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	4,9
	ind	dustrial	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16

see separate sheet

PCT/IB2004/050500

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1: US-A-5 627 829 (ALTMAIER PAULETTE R ET AL) 6 May 1997 (1997-05-06)

ı.

 The application has 3 transmitting system claims (cl.11,12,14). However, one claim per transmitting systems category is appropriate.

II.

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A transmitting method of transmitting data using a layered communication model (col.3, line 42-44), comprising the steps of:

generating at a first layer a first communication fragment comprising a first address reference referring to a first entity (col.3, line 52-53; col.12, line 62-col.13, line 6; fig.11)

generating at a second layer below the first layer a second communication fragment comprising based on the first communication fragment a second address reference referring to a second entity which is related to the first entity (see above )

transmitting data comprising the second communication fragment, characterized in that

the transmitting method further comprises the step of removing at least partly the first address reference in the transmitted data (col.13, lines 21-23).

2. Independent claims 11,12,14,15 correspond in transmitting system (cl.11,12,14) and transmitting computer program terms to claim 1. Therefore, the same

reasoning applies to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 11-12,14-15, which therefore are also considered not new.

- Independent claims 6, 13 and 16 disclose respectively a receiving method, system and computer program product and correspond to the transmitting method, system and computer program product disclosed by claims 1, 12 and 15.
  - D1 also discloses the corresponding receiving features of claims 6, 13 and 16 (see col.14, lines 16-22, reconstructing the packet on the receiving node).
  - Therefore, the same reasoning applies to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 6, 13 and 16 which therefore are also considered not new.
- 4. The additional features of dependent claims 2- 5 and 7-10 do not add anything new or of inventive significance, because they are either known from prior art (cl. 2, 3, 5, 7,8,10) or are common measures (cl. 4, 9).
- 5. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).